



**DIRECT-MAT Final Workshop  
Brussels, 18 October 2011**

# Highlights of WP3 „Hydraulically-bound materials“ Best Practices

**Laszlo Gaspar**  
KTI (WP3 leader)



The project has received funding from the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement n°218656



EU waste framework directives: 70% recycling rate for construction and demolition waste by 2020.

**DIRECT-MAT project:** European Web database and Best Practice Guides on demolition and recycling road materials back into roads. WP3 concentrates on hydraulically-bound layers (members: A, B, CZ, DK, F, HU, S, SLO):

**Activity phases:** European literature review  
Field and laboratory data collection  
Best Practice Guide

# Best Practice Guide in the DIRECT-MAT project

---



Completed in October 2011 based on WP3 information gathering.

## Content

Overview of recycling processes

Demolition – crushing – sorting techniques

In situ and plant recycling techniques

Future research needs

Conclusion

## Recycling procedure phases

- detailed **investigation** of „old” unbound, hydraulically-bound or asphalt layer
- **quality** requirements of „new” recycled layer
- available recycling **machinery**
- **mix design** (laboratory tests, previous experience)
- **demolition** of „old” layer
- **crushing, sorting**, (cleaning) of reclaimed material, in situ or plant **recycling** (quality management)

New hydraulically-bound pavement structural layer:

- cement stabilization
- lean concrete, porous concrete, sand cement etc. (sub-)base
- cement concrete pavement

## General rules

- usually same criteria for recycled aggregate as for natural aggregate
- stricter criteria for cement concrete pavements than for (sub-)bases

# Demolition - Crushing - Sorting Techniques, I

- Collection of related documents and information
- Detailed visual examination with homogeneity evaluation
- Quantity and quality information of the layers to be demolished

## Demolition techniques

- partial demolition
- total demolition
- milling

## **Partial demolition** (sound concrete pavement)

Use of guillotine before asphalt overlay

## **Total demolition** (damaged or weak layer)

- Dedicated platform or fixed installation → deposit
- Mobile recycling equipment (drop ball, guillotine or hydraulic hammer on excavator to max. 0,5 to 1,0 m<sup>3</sup>)
- Separate demolition (max. 20% asphalt)

## Milling of the layer

- separate milling or together with asphalt → base course (high tensile strength and low elastic modulus) → reduced reflection cracking tendency

## Crushing steps

- pre-treatment
- primary crushing with possible screening
- secondary crushing
- (?) washing, sorting



After crushing, separation of sand → to base courses

## New cement concrete pavement

- max 32 mm recycled aggregate
- washing for impurities below 1%

# In situ recycling techniques, I

---

In situ and plant recycling techniques

In situ techniques: recycling with hydraulic binder;  
recycling with cement and bituminous binder

## **Recycling with cement or other hydraulic binder**

Base course below asphalt surfacing  
(also heavy traffic)

a) Old material testing (physical, mechanical and chemical tests, grading and fines quality, organic elements)

## b) Mix design

Preliminary tests: binder dosage, additional aggregate, optimum water content, maximum density.

Typical cement dosage: 3,5-6,0 %.

Hydraulic Road Binders are preferred

Additional natural aggregate: sand, stone or mix.

## c) Execution process

Additional material on surface; light compaction; fractionate into granulate; (eventual) cement or slurry cement spreading; water; mixing; laying, compaction, curing (e.g. emulsion coating)

Daily sampling and testing

Mixing depth: 200-250 mm (350 mm ?)

Water content = f(climatic conditions)

Typically 6 passes of heavy roller

Effective drainage

# In situ recycling techniques, IV

---

## **Recycling (stabilization) with cement and bituminous binder (emulsion or foamed bitumen)**

Granular material → base or sub-base (no traffic limitation)

Reclaimed asphalt + unbound base (low traffic)

Reclaimed asphalt → base or binder course (low traffic)

Advantages: lower plasticity of fines, higher early age modulus and strength, limited shrinkage

Rehabilitation of aged and cracked, low-volume asphalt pavements

# In situ recycling techniques, V

Usually similar steps as for cement stabilization

## Differences

- cement, lime or fly ash added to increase the particle size under 0,063 mm (bound to foamed bitumen)
- typical binder contents: 3% emulsion + 3 to 4% cement  
3 to 4% foamed bitumen +1,5 to 2,0% cement
- additional laboratory tests: expansion rate, half life of foam, water sensitivity
- optimum water content: 4 to 8%

## Execution process

Spreading of cement on the surface, (eventually)  
gravel on the surface

Disintegration of asphalt + partly unbound base  
layer by recycling machine

Mixing with binders (injected to mixing chamber)

Laying

Compaction

Overlaying by asphalt course

Material from stockpiles or recycling site.

## a) Recycling to new cement concrete pavements

Similar technique as for virgin aggregates.

Additional aggregate hoppers for recycled material fractions.

Double-layered concrete pavements: lower layer with less strict requirements.

Formerly unbound layer: widely applied

Formerly hydraulically-bound layer: less experience;  
restriction in some countries due to polishing resistance (no problem in lower layer)



Problems: rough texture, high angularity and increased water absorption.

Optimum water content higher by 1-3%  
Insufficient resistance to water and chemical de-icing substances.

No recycling with high alkali-silica content of concrete.

Limit values of RAP (10-20% in lower layer).

Typical mix requirements: w/c, air voids, compaction rate, compressive strength, tensile strength.

## General observation

- higher water dosage,
- lower bulk density,
- lower compressive strength by 10-15%,
- lower modulus of elasticity by 15-20%,
- higher creep up to 50%,
- higher shrinkage up to 20-40%.

Typically 35-60% recycling rate.

# Plant recycling techniques, IV

---

15 kg/m<sup>3</sup> (3-4%) increase in cement content

Low alkali cement can prevent alkali-silica reaction

Typical w/c: 0,42

Mixing in saturate surface dry condition

Formerly asphalt layer recycling is not allowed in many countries (Research is needed)

## b) Recycling to new hydraulically-bound (sub)-base layer

Less strict requirements on lean concrete, porous concrete, roller compacted concrete, sand-cement

Differences from concrete pavement requirements: no PSV test is needed,

- crushed concrete sand 0/4 can be used,
- slip form or modified asphalt pavers can be applied,
- quality check: bearing capacity and degree of compaction,
- RAP with 3-5% cement and 52% water → sufficient strength and modulus of elasticity,
- 120-250 m compacted thickness.

# Future research needs

---

## Some examples:

- more **homogenous** reclamation techniques with **low-energy** demolition methodology,
- **innovative testing** methods for the characterization of reclaimed road material,
- expanded **standardization** for testing parameters,
- identification of **key properties** specific to reclaimed materials with realistic limit values,
- **new reclaimed materials** to new hydraulically bound layers without jeopardizing their good quality,
- **best recycling practices** in given practical application cases.

BPG (Best Practice Guide) summarizes **theoretical knowledge and practical experience** of European experts in the field

Any **feedback** to BPG is welcome

Europe-wide **monitoring** of test sections is encouraged

**Data gathering** on environmental and financial aspects in the topic for the **further development** of BPG

**THANK YOU FOR YOUR KIND  
ATTENTION**